

REPORT

SHEERSHASTH
Bilingual Debating Society (SLC-M)



ANVAY 2.0

ANNUAL BILINGUAL DEBATE COMPETITION

Held on Saturday, 25 April 2026

OXFORD DEBATE

Venue: Room 103

The annual debating festival of Shyam Lal College recently organized a premier Oxford Style Debate Tournament. The event was designed to provide a high-stakes platform for university students to showcase their rhetorical skills, logical consistency, and adaptability.

FORMAT

There was total 6 teams, each one was consisting of 2 members. The competition spanned 5 distinct rounds, allowing each team to face a new opponent in every round. This structure was designed to test the participants' consistency and intellectual endurance. By removing the pressure of immediate elimination, the format encouraged debaters to take risks with their arguments and refine their logical depth as the day progressed.

SESSION LAYOUT

The tournament was conducted across three separate rooms simultaneously, with each room hosting a head-to-head competition between two teams. Every team was scheduled to compete against each of the other five teams in the circuit over five distinct rounds. Upon the motion reveal, each session began with a 10-minute preparation phase where two member teams brainstormed arguments and strategy without digital aids. Each participant was assigned a strict 2-minute floor time to deliver their speech. The session concluded with immediate judicial deliberation and oral feedback, by our esteemed judges focusing on matter, manner, and method to help debaters refine their performance for the subsequent rounds.

1. Dr.Kinshuk Majumdar
2. Mr. Nartam Vivekanand Motiram
3. Dr.Jyoti Atri

WORK FLOW AND DEVELOPMENT

The workflow was streamlined by removing post-round oral feedback. Judges recorded scores for Matter, Manner, and Method directly onto their score sheets, allowing teams to move immediately to the next room or prepare for the next motion. This kept the entire event on a strict timeline. Amidst this rigorous logistical rotation, the tournament recognized its top performers, with

1. Raj - Winner
2. Prachi & Aditya - 1st runner up
3. Sheedhar & Siddhi as 2nd runner up.

The 10-minute preparation window acted as a high-pressure development tool. Without access to phones or the internet, debaters had to rely on their own general knowledge and logic, sharpening their ability to synthesize arguments quickly. Because there was no elimination, a team that performed poorly in Round 1 still had 4 more rounds to recover. This “developmental safety net” encouraged students to experiment with different rhetorical styles and improve their logic round-by-round.



NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TRANSGENDER PERSONS

VENUE: Board Room

EVENT OVERVIEW

The Bilingual Debating Society, Sheershashth, organized the committee for National Council for Transgender Persons for its annual event Anvay 2.0 that brought together students from across Delhi NCR for a day of meaningful discussions, inclusivity, and social engagement. The event served as a platform for participants to share perspectives, promote equality, and strengthen awareness regarding transgender rights and representation. The event also provided participants with an opportunity to voice their opinions confidently, engage in thoughtful dialogue, and communicate their ideas effectively in both Hindi and English.

COMPETITION FORMAT

Participants were assigned portfolios such as Government Representatives, Policy Experts, and Activists, allowing them to represent diverse perspectives and contribute meaningfully to the discussions.

Pre-Committee Round

Participants were required to submit a 150–200-word solution in pointer or clause format based on the agenda provided. These solutions were expected to be original and well-structured, as they were later considered during the Press Release round. Any AI-generated or plagiarized content was subject to penalty.

Conventional Debate (Round 1)

Each participant was given 2.5–3 minutes of speaking time along with 1 minute for interjections. This round tested participants' ability to present arguments, defend their stance, and respond effectively to opposing viewpoints.

Judging Criteria:

- Content and Relevance
- Strategy and Framework
- Confidence and Tone

- Rebuttals

Group Discussion (Round 2)

This was a structured yet dynamic discussion phase, actively monitored by the Executive Board. Participants engaged in collaborative argumentation, policy discussion, and strategic lobbying while maintaining consistency in their stance.

Judging Criteria:

- Content
- Lobbying and Dominance in Discussion
- Argumentation and Questions
- Consistency

Press Release (Round 3)

In the final round, the solutions submitted earlier were clubbed together and voted upon from each side. Marks were awarded based on the effectiveness, practicality, and collaborative strength of the final proposals, as decided by the Executive Board.

EVENT TIMELINE & LOGISTICS

- Commencement: 11:00 AM in the Board Room
- Conclusion: 5:00 PM
- Participation: The event witnessed active and enthusiastic involvement from 9 students representing different colleges across Delhi NCR.

Organizing Team: The successful execution of the event was made possible through the dedicated efforts and coordinated teamwork of the organizing committee members. -Utkarsh, Sara, Sharoj, Riva, Shahid.

Adjudication Panel

The committee was honored to have a distinguished panel of judges who assessed the participants on the basis of quality of content, leadership in discussions, strength of arguments and questioning, and consistency in their stance.

1. Dr. Suman Kumari
2. Dr. Manisha

Invited Moderators

The committee was guided by dedicated moderators who ensured the smooth flow of discussions, maintained discipline during the sessions, encouraged balanced participation, and facilitated meaningful dialogue among all participants throughout the event.

1. Ms. Mouli Chawla (Ramjas College)
2. Ms. Abhigya Singh Yadav (Jamia Milia Islamia)

RESULTS AND FELICITATION

After three engaging rounds of discussion and evaluation, the following students emerged as the winners:

1. Best Delegate: Daiki (Prudence School, Dwarka)
2. High Commendation: Tanushree Kashyap (IPCW)
3. Special Mention: Vinayak (IIT Madras)

The winners were recognized for their exceptional performance and were awarded Certificates of Merit and mementos, while all participants received Certificates of Participation. The event was marked by thoughtful discussions, active participation, and a strong commitment to meaningful dialogue. From the initial policy discussions to the final collaborative press release, the competition successfully highlighted the participants' awareness, communication skills, and understanding of social issues. The day concluded with a formal Felicitation Ceremony initiated by the Convenor, Dr. Ravindra Kumar, where the judges shared valuable feedback with the participants. The presentation of awards marked a memorable conclusion to the event, leaving both participants and the audience inspired by the spirit of inclusivity, advocacy, and impactful discussion.



BILINGUAL LOK SABHA DEBATE

Venue: Room No.108

Organised By: Sheershashth, The Bilingual Debating Society , SLC(M)

The Bilingual Debating Society , Sheershashth organised a Lok Sabha Debate Competition in its annual event ANVAY 2.0 , reflecting both rigor and parliamentary decorum. The event was conducted with remarkable sophistication , embodying a refined and contemporary approach to discourse while maintaining the seriousness of democratic deliberation.

FORMAT

The Lok Sabha committee was conducted in the format of an Indian Youth Parliament simulation replicating the functioning of the Lok Sabha. Delegates represented Members of Parliament from different political parties and participated in discussions from the standpoint of their allotted portfolios and party ideologies. The session began with the Speaker initiating the proceedings and introducing the agenda before opening the floor for discussion. Members delivered speeches on the agenda, raised questions, presented policy suggestions, and engaged in moderated parliamentary debate while strictly following House procedure and decorum. The committee also included interventions, cross-questioning, and points raised through the Chair to encourage dynamic deliberation. Delegates were expected to maintain parliamentary language, discipline, and factual accuracy throughout the proceedings. The session concluded with final remarks and voting procedures, after which participants were evaluated on research, public speaking, parliamentary conduct, diplomacy, and effectiveness in debate.

EVENT TIMELINE & LOGISTICS

1. Commencement : 11:00 AM in room no.108
2. Conclusion : 5:00 PM
3. Participation : The event saw enthusiastic participation from students across the different colleges from DELHI UNIVERSITY
- 4: Organizing : The smooth execution of the event was done by the students of the organising committee.

AGENDA OF THE EVENT

Deliberation on the delimitation bill, 2026 with special emphasis on the proposed delimitation exercise, its socio-political ramifications, and its intersection with women reservation act.

ADJUDICATION PANEL

The society was honored to have a distinguished judging panel to evaluate the participation based on content , delivery and rebuttal skills:

- Dr. Swati Ma'am, Department of History
- Dr. Ajay Shankar Bangwal, Department of Physics
- Parth Loya (Chairperson; SVC)
- Shreya Kapoor (Vice Chairperson; GGSIPU)

RESULTS AND FELICITATION

After all the discussions and deliberations , the following students emerged as the winners :

1. Best Representative	Chandra Shekhar Azad Ravan
2. High Commendation	Asaduddin Owaisi
3. Special Mention	Nirmala Sitaraman
4. Honourable Mention	N.K.Premachandran
5. Honourable Mention	Amit Shah
6. Verbal Mention 1	Abhishek Banerjee
7. Verbal Mention 2	Manish Tiwari
8. Verbal Mention 3	Rahul Gandhi

PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE

Venue: Room 109, New Building

On 25 April 2026, Sheershashth Debating Society of Shyam Lal College organised the Presidential Debate 2026, an event aimed at fostering political awareness, critical thinking, and persuasive communication among students. Bringing together participants from various institutions, the competition created a platform for intellectual engagement, leadership-oriented discussion, and dynamic public speaking.

FORMAT

The event was conducted in two rounds, each designed to test different dimensions of debating and communication skills.

Round 1 – Group Discussion³

The first round consisted of a moderated group discussion. Participants were provided with the motion twenty minutes prior to the commencement of the round and were required to choose either the For or Against stance. Each participant was initially given one minute to introduce themselves and briefly establish their position on the motion. Following the introductions, participants engaged in a twenty-minute discussion directed by a moderator. The round emphasised spontaneity, clarity of arguments, listening skills, and respectful engagement. Participants actively defended their viewpoints while responding to opposing perspectives in a formal and disciplined environment.

Round 2 – Presidential Debate

Based on their performances in the discussion round, selected candidates advanced to the Presidential Debate round. Unlike a conventional debate format, participants were required to present themselves as presidential candidates addressing an electorate. The objective was not only to argue on the motion but also to persuade the audience why they deserved support based on their ideology, reasoning, and presentation. Participants were given fifteen minutes of preparation time before delivering a five-minute speech, followed by one minute of interjections and rebuttals. The round highlighted confidence, rhetorical ability, audience engagement, and political articulation. The speeches reflected a balance of analytical depth and

persuasive communication, making the session highly engaging for both judges and attendees .The competition maintained strict debating decorum throughout. Participants were permitted to carry papers only for noting keywords, while paper reading was strictly prohibited. Internet access was allowed during preparation for both rounds. Formal language, respectful interaction, and relevance of arguments remained central to the conduct of the event.

PARTICIPANTS AND WINNERS

The participants of the event included:

Priyamvada Singh	Lady Shri Ram College for Women
Pallavi Pandey	Shyam Lal College
Shashwat Dwivedi	Acharya Narendra Dev College
Sanskriti Roy	Ashutosh College
Vishesh Rai	Dayal Singh College (Evening)
Yash Upadhyay	Shaheed Bhagat Singh College

Respected Judges for the Event:-

1. Dr. M. Abbasuddin Tapadar
2. Dr. Rekha Kaushik

The evaluation was based on content, clarity, confidence, listening skills, and overall persuasiveness. After a series of compelling performances, the winners of the competition were announced as:

1. Winner: Shashwat Dwivedi
2. Runner Up: Priyamvada Singh
3. 1st Runner Up: Yash Upadhyay

The Presidential Debate 2026 concluded successfully with enthusiastic participation and thoughtful deliberation. The event not only celebrated the spirit of debate and democratic discourse but also strengthened the culture of public speaking and intellectual exchange nurtured by Sheershashth.



CONVENTIONAL DEBATE

VENUE: Seminar Hall & Reading Room

EVENT OVERVIEW

The Bilingual Debating Society, Sheershasth, organized a Conventional Debate Competition in its annual event ANVAY 2.0 that brought together students from across Delhi NCR for a day of rigorous intellectual exchange and oratorical excellence. The event served as a platform

for participants to showcase their critical thinking and persuasive skills in both English and Hindi.

COMPETITION FORMAT

The competition was structured into three distinct and increasingly challenging rounds:

1. Round 1: Conventional Debate (CD): The opening round was held in the Seminar Hall, where participants debated on a pre-decided motion [Insert Topic].
2. Round 2: Extempore (Limited Prep): Moving to the Reading Room, participants were given a 3-minute preparation time to formulate arguments on an on-the-spot topic.
3. Round 3: Extempore (Impromptu): The final round tested the ultimate spontaneity of the 7 finalists, who were required to speak immediately with no preparation time.

EVENT TIMELINE & LOGISTICS

- Commencement: 11:00 AM in the Seminar Hall.
- Conclusion: 5:00 PM in the Reading Room.
- Participation: The event saw enthusiastic participation from 22 students across various colleges.
- Organizing Team: The smooth execution of the event was made possible by the dedicated efforts of the members of the organising committees: Ambrin, Ayushi, Himanshu, Lakshya, Parvathy and Priyanshi.

ADJUDICATION PANEL

The society was honored to have a distinguished judging panel to evaluate the participants based on content, delivery, and rebuttal skills:

- Dr. Romasa Shukla- Asst Professor, Dept of Commerce, SLC
- Dr. Ravindra Kumar, Department of Physics
- Dr. Saubhagyalaxmi Singh – Asst Professor, Dept of Mathematics, SLC
- Dr. Srinivas Misra- Asst Professor, Dept of Political Science, SLC
- Mr. Sumit Kumar Bhati, Department of Physics

RESULTS AND FELICITATION

After three rounds of intense deliberation, the following students emerged as the winners:

1. First Position: Chitra (BCAS, DU)
2. Second Position: Shashwat Dwivedi
3. Third Position: Lovisha Sharma (LSR, DU)

The winners were recognized for their exceptional performance and were awarded Certificates of Merit, Mementos and the participants were given the certificate of participation.

The competition was characterized by high-spirited arguments and a deep respect for the art of persuasion. From the structured logic of the first round to the raw spontaneity of the final extempore, the event successfully highlighted the diverse linguistic and analytical talents of the student body.

The day concluded with a formal Felicitation Ceremony initiated by the Convenor Dr. Ravindra Kumar where the judges shared insightful feedback with the participants. The presentation of awards marked a celebratory end to the event, leaving the audience and participants inspired by the power of well-reasoned speech.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Sl.No.	Name of the Student	College Name
1.	Praniti Patidari	LSR
2.	Divyashree Kumari	LSR
3.	Snehil Singh	SLC
4.	Chitra	BCAS
5.	Gurmannat Kaur	YMCA, Faridabad
6.	Mansi Ojha	Shivaji College
7.	Lovisha Sharma	LSR
8.	Aditya Kumar	Sri Aurobindo College
9.	Vidhiraj	SSNC

10.	Shweta	IPCW
11.	Rajveer	Moti Lal Nehru College(E)
12.	Vanshika Shinde	SPMC
13.	Niharika	SPMC
14.	Palakshi	MAIMS
15.	Arpit Gupta	Swami Shraddhanand College
16.	Aadya Mishra	JMC
17.	Vaishnavi Sharma	Gargi College
18.	Uday Sharma	BCAS
19.	Alankrit	KMC
20.	Bhoomika Tyagi	Shivaji College
21.	Shaswat Dwivedi	ADN DC
22.	Stuti Pal	

CONVENTIONAL DEBATE TOPICS

English

1. The evolution of internet language, including ‘brainrot’, reflects progress
2. Globalisation has made meaningful decolonisation for commonwealth nations impossible
3. Modern genetic engineering reflects the ethical concerns of the Nephilim narrative.
4. The expansion of Surveillance Capitalism poses a greater threat to individual freedom than state surveillance.
5. This House believes that collective identity should not be shaped by historical grievances

Hindi

1. ब्रेनरॉट' (brainrot) सहित इंटरनेट भाषा का विकास प्रगति को दर्शाता है
2. वैश्वीकरण ने कॉमनवेल्थ (commonwealth) देशों के लिए सार्थक उपनिवेश-मुक्ति को असंभव बना दिया है
3. आधुनिक जेनेटिक इंजीनियरिंग नेफिलिम (Nephilim) कथा की नैतिक चिंताओं को प्रतिबिंबित करती है सर्विलांस कैपिटलिज़्म (Surveillance Capitalism) का विस्तार, राज्य निगरानी की तुलना में, व्यक्तिगत स्वतंत्रता के लिए अधिक बड़ा खतरा है
4. यह सदन मानता है कि सामूहिक पहचान को ऐतिहासिक शिकायतों से नहीं गढ़ा जाना चाहिए



बडुबोले 2.0

कार्यक्रम का अवलोकन

ANVAY 2.0 के अन्तर्गत श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः) की द्विभाषी वाद-विवाद समिति — शीर्षस्थ — ने 'बडुबोले 2.0' का आयोजन किया, जो समिति का प्रथम हिन्दी संसदीय वाद-विवाद (Parliamentary Debate) का ऐतिहासिक प्रयास था। इस प्रतियोगिता ने दिल्ली-NCR के विभिन्न महाविद्यालयों के प्रतिभागियों को एकत्रित कर हिन्दी में संसदीय वाद-विवाद की उत्कृष्ट परंपरा को प्रोत्साहित किया।

कार्यक्रम विवरण

दिनांक	25 अप्रैल 2026 (शनिवार)
समय	दोपहर 12:00 बजे से सायं 6:00 बजे तक
स्थान (ऑनलाइन चरण)	Google Meet — 23 अप्रैल 2026
स्थान (ऑन-कैम्पस चरण)	कक्ष 104, 105, 106, 113 (क्वार्टर फ़ाइनल व सेमी-फ़ाइनल) बोर्ड रूम (फ़ाइनल) — नया भवन, श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः), शाहदरा, नई दिल्ली
आयोजक	शीर्षस्थ — द्विभाषी वाद-विवाद समिति, SLC(M)

कुल पंजीकृत दल (ऑनलाइन)	12 दल
ऑन-कैम्पस दल (चयनित)	8 दल (16 प्रतिभागी)

प्रतियोगिता का प्रारूप

प्रतियोगिता दो चरणों में आयोजित की गई:

चरण 1 — ऑनलाइन परम्परागत वाद-विवाद (23 अप्रैल 2026)

पहले चरण में 12 दलों ने Google Meet के माध्यम से ऑनलाइन परम्परागत वाद-विवाद (Conventional Debate) में भाग लिया। निर्णायक मण्डल द्वारा सर्वश्रेष्ठ 8 दलों का चयन किया गया जो ऑन-कैम्पस संसदीय वाद-विवाद के लिए अर्हता प्राप्त हुए।

चरण 2 — ऑन-कैम्पस संसदीय वाद-विवाद (25 अप्रैल 2026)

दूसरे चरण में 8 चयनित दलों ने 2X2 संसदीय वाद-विवाद प्रारूप (Parliamentary 2X2 Debate) में भाग लिया। प्रतियोगिता नॉकआउट पद्धति पर आधारित थी:

राउंड	दल	कक्ष	प्रस्ताव (दिए गए)	प्रस्ताव (अस्वीकार)
क्वार्टर फ़ाइनल	8 दल (4 मैच)	4 कक्ष (104, 105, 106, 113)	3 प्रस्ताव प्रति कक्ष	1 प्रत्येक दल द्वारा
सेमी-फ़ाइनल	4 दल (2 मैच)	2 कक्ष	3 प्रस्ताव प्रति कक्ष	1 प्रत्येक दल द्वारा
फ़ाइनल	2 दल	बोर्ड रूम	3 प्रस्ताव	1 प्रत्येक दल द्वारा

वाद-विवाद का विधान (प्रत्येक मैच)

प्रत्येक मैच में निम्नलिखित क्रम अपनाया गया:

- तैयारी समय (Prep Time): 15 मिनट
- प्रधानमंत्री (PM) — सरकारी पक्ष: 7 मिनट
- विपक्ष के नेता (LO) — विपक्षी पक्ष: 7 मिनट
- उप-प्रधानमंत्री (DPM) — सरकारी पक्ष: 7 मिनट

- उप-विपक्ष नेता (DLO) — विपक्षी पक्ष: 7 मिनट
- अंतर्वाद (Interjection) के लिए प्रत्येक पक्ष को 1 मिनट 30 सेकंड
- सरकारी पक्ष पहले विपक्ष से एक प्रतिनिधि बुलाएगा, फिर विपक्ष सरकार से — प्रत्येक वक्ता को 3 मिनट अंतर्वाद का उत्तर देना होगा
- समापन भाषण की तैयारी: 1 मिनट
- प्रत्येक पक्ष से 1 वक्ता — 2 मिनट का समापन भाषण

निर्णायक मण्डल

मुख्य निर्णायक (Invited Adjudicator — CAD):

नाम	भूमिका	अनुभव
उमर शाह (Umar Shah)	मुख्य निर्णायक (CAD)	200+ क्रेडिट
अनीश (Anish)	निर्णायक (Adjudicator)	50+ क्रेडिट
शिल्पी (Shilpi)	निर्णायक (Adjudicator)	50+ क्रेडिट

प्रोफ़ेसर निर्णायक (Faculty Adjudicators):

नाम	संस्था
प्रो. सत्यप्रिया	श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः)
प्रो. सुमित	श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः)
प्रो. अविनाश	श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः)
प्रो. सामरेन्द्र	श्याम लाल कॉलेज (प्रातः)

प्रतिभागी दल

क्वार्टर फ़ाइनल में भाग लेने वाले 8 चयनित दल:

क्र.सं.	वक्ता 1	वक्ता 2
1	आयुष भारद्वाज	हर्षवर्धन श्रीवास्तव
2	अपेक्षा कुंवर	हर्षिता पाण्डे
3	कोमल यादव	नायला प्रवीन
4	दिव्यांश श्रीवास्तव	नंदिनी शर्मा
5	दर्शानी सेंगर	सुमन
6	शिवम	भूमिका
7	ख्याति	अमन
8	शगुन मिश्रा	अलंकृत श्रीवास्तव

परिणाम एवं पुरस्कार वितरण

तीन कठिन राउंड — क्वार्टर फ़ाइनल, सेमी-फ़ाइनल और फ़ाइनल — के पश्चात निम्नलिखित दल विजयी रहे:

पुरस्कार	विजयी दल	महाविद्यालय
🏆 प्रथम पुरस्कार	अलंकृत श्रीवास्तव एवं शगुन मिश्रा	KMC, दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय
🥈 द्वितीय पुरस्कार	दर्शानी सेंगर एवं सुमन	Miranda House, दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय

विजेताओं को मेडल, प्रशस्ति-पत्र एवं स्मृतिचिह्न प्रदान किए गए। समस्त प्रतिभागियों को भागीदारी प्रमाण-पत्र से सम्मानित किया गया। पुरस्कार वितरण समारोह का समापन निर्णायक मण्डल द्वारा प्रतिभागियों को दिए गए रचनात्मक प्रतिक्रिया (feedback) सत्र के साथ हुआ।

समापन समारोह एवं सम्मान

सायं 6:00 बजे औपचारिक समापन समारोह का आयोजन किया गया। मुख्य निर्णायक उमर शाह ने प्रतिभागियों को संसदीय वाद-विवाद की बारीकियों पर विस्तृत मार्गदर्शन दिया। निर्णायक मण्डल के समस्त सदस्यों ने वक्ताओं के प्रदर्शन की सराहना की और उन्हें भविष्य के लिए प्रेरित किया। विजेताओं को पुरस्कार, स्मृतिचिह्न एवं प्रमाण-पत्र प्रदान कर समारोह का समापन हर्षोल्लास के साथ हुआ।

समग्र मूल्यांकन

'बडुबोले 2.0' शीर्षस्थ का प्रथम हिन्दी संसदीय वाद-विवाद का ऐतिहासिक प्रयास था। दोनों चरणों में प्रतिभागियों का उत्साह एवं तर्क-शक्ति अत्यंत प्रभावशाली रही। ऑनलाइन राउंड से लेकर फ़ाइनल तक, प्रतियोगिता ने हिन्दी में संसदीय परंपरा की समृद्धि को उजागर किया। अनुभवी निर्णायक मण्डल, सुव्यवस्थित आयोजन और प्रतिभागियों की सक्रिय भागीदारी ने इस आयोजन को स्मरणीय बनाया। इस पहल से शीर्षस्थ ने दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय के वाद-विवाद परिदृश्य में हिन्दी संसदीय वाद-विवाद को एक नई पहचान दिलाई।

आयोजन समिति

इस आयोजन को सफल बनाने में शीर्षस्थ की आयोजन समिति के समस्त सदस्यों का अमूल्य योगदान रहा।
मुख्य संयोजक:

- आदित्य शर्मा
- आदित्य राजौरिया
- उज्ज्वल शाह
- निकिता
- ध्रुव
- मीनल

इस आयोजन में सहयोग देने वाले समस्त सदस्यों के प्रति आभार व्यक्त किया जाता है जिनके अथक परिश्रम से यह कार्यक्रम सुचारु रूप से सम्पन्न हो सका।

धन्यवाद |

संयोजक

डॉ. रवीन्द्र कुमार

